# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,902	07/17/2003	David Yu Chang	AUS920030082US1	2139	
65362 HAMILTON &	7590 01/23/2008 & TERRILE, LLP		EXAMINER		
IBM Austin			CAO, PHUONG THAO		
P.O. BOX 203: AUSTIN, TX 7			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
71051111, 111			2164		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	<u> </u>		<u></u>			
`	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/621,902	CHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· <del></del>			
	Phuong-Thao Cao	2164				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MOI	NTU(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAVS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA (6(a). In no event, however, may a repl (iii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	eptember 2007.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·— · · ·	<del>-</del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-17 and 19-24 is/are pending	in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-9, 11-17 and 19-24</u> is/are rejected	d.	,				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		•			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau		and and				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	<b></b>	(0.00 4/2)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		ormal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office		,				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. In response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed on 9/18/2007, the finality of rejection is withdrawn.
- 2. This action is in response to the Amendment filed on 4/5/2007.
- 3. Currently, claims 1, 3-9, 11-17 and 19-24 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-9, 11-17 and 19-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

7. Claims 1, 3-9, 11-17 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Custodio (Publication No US 2003/0182652, effective filing date 03/20/2002) in view of Lee et al. (US Patent No 5,745,683 issued on 04/28/1998).

As to claim 1, <u>Custodio</u> teaches:

"A method for processing names by a naming service within a data processing system" (see Custodio, [0008] and [0047]-[0049] wherein values of file ID, deployment ID, deployment path, and so on can be all broadly interpreted as names, and the mapping between file ID (name) and its location represents a naming service which resolves a name to its location), the method comprising:

"obtaining an application name that is associated with an application" (see Custodio, [0047] wherein a file, for instance an Enterprise Archive (EAR) file, is interpreted as an application, and file ID is broadly interpreted as application name);

"obtaining a deployment name that is associated with deployment attribute that characterizes a deployment of an instance of the application" (see Custodio, [0049] for deployment ID); and

"the deployment attribute is a metadata value that characterizes a manner in which the instance of the application is deployed within the data processing system" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0047] for deployment path as deployment attribute).

<u>Custodio</u> teaches application name (file ID), deployment name (deployment ID) and the combination of application name and deployment name to identify an instance of the application (using file ID and deployment ID to identify a particular instance of a deployed file). However, <u>Custodio</u> does not explicitly teach:

"generating an application-based name for the instance of the application";

"storing the application-based name for the instance of the application in a computer storage medium" wherein

"the application-based name represents a context within a naming system", and

"the application-based name is a compound name that comprises the application name
and the deployment name".

On the other hand, <u>Lee et al.</u> teaches general concepts of a naming system including atomic names, generating compound name from atomic names and naming context within a naming system (see <u>Lee et al.</u>, [column 7, lines 15-67] and [column 8, lines 1-40]).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Lee et al.</u> into the <u>Custodio</u>'s system. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to do so as suggested by <u>Lee et al.</u> in column 1, lines 63-67 that a Naming or Directory service is a fundamental facility in any computing system, and in column 2, lines 10-15 that a naming service is usually integrated with another service such as file system, database, desktop, etc. In addition, integrating a naming system into the database in

<u>Custodio</u>'s system to generate application based names based on identification information in the database and representing a context within a naming system provides an effective and convenient way to manage the deployed files (applications) in environments of the system.

As to claim 9, <u>Custodio</u> teaches:

"An apparatus for processing names by a naming service within a data processing system" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0008] and [0047]-[0049] wherein values of file ID, deployment ID, deployment path, and so on can be all broadly interpreted as names, and the mapping between file ID (name) and its location represents a naming service which resolves a name to its location), the apparatus comprising:

"means for obtaining an application name that is associated with an application" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0047] wherein a file, for instance an Enterprise Archive (EAR) file, is interpreted as an application, and file ID is broadly interpreted as application name);

"means for obtaining a deployment name that is associated with deployment attribute that characterizes a deployment of an instance of the application" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0049] for deployment ID); and

"the deployment attribute is a metadata value that characterizes a manner in which the instance of the application is deployed within the data processing system" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0047] for deployment path as deployment attribute).

<u>Custodio</u> teaches application name (file ID), deployment name (deployment ID) and the combination of application name and deployment name to identify an instance of the application

Application/Control Number:

10/621,902 Art Unit: 2164

(using file ID and deployment ID to identify a particular instance of a deployed file). However, Custodio does not explicitly teach:

"means for generating an application-based name for the instance of the application";

"means for storing the application-based name for the instance of the application in a computer storage medium" wherein

"the application-based name represents a context within a naming system", and

"the application-based name is a compound name that comprises the application name
and the deployment name".

On the other hand, <u>Lee et al.</u> teaches general concepts of a naming system including atomic names, generating compound name from atomic names and naming context within a naming system (see <u>Lee et al.</u>, [column 7, lines 15-67] and [column 8, lines 1-40]).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Lee et al. into the Custodio's system. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to do so as suggested by Lee et al. in column 1, lines 63-67 that a Naming or Directory service is a fundamental facility in any computing system, and in column 2, lines 10-15 that a naming service is usually integrated with another service such as file system, database, desktop, etc. In addition, integrating a naming system into the database in Custodio's system to generate application based names based on identification information in the database and representing a context within a naming system provides an effective and convenient way to manage the deployed files (applications) in environments of the system.

As to claim 17, Custodio teaches:

"A computer program product in a computer storage medium for use in a data processing system for processing names by a naming service" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0008] and [0047]-[0049] wherein values of file ID, deployment ID, deployment path, and so on can be all broadly interpreted as names, and the mapping between file ID (name) and its location represents a naming service which resolves a name to its location), the computer program product comprising:

"means for obtaining an application name that is associated with an application" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0047] wherein a file, for instance an Enterprise Archive (EAR) file, is interpreted as an application, and file ID is broadly interpreted as application name);

"means for obtaining a deployment name that is associated with deployment attribute that characterizes a deployment of an instance of the application" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0049] for deployment ID); and

"the deployment attribute is a metadata value that characterizes a manner in which the instance of the application is deployed within the data processing system" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0047] for deployment path as deployment attribute).

<u>Custodio</u> teaches application name (file ID), deployment name (deployment ID) and the combination of application name and deployment name to identify an instance of the application (using file ID and deployment ID to identify a particular instance of a deployed file). However, <u>Custodio</u> does not explicitly teach:

"means for generating an application-based name for the instance of the application";

"means for storing the application-based name for the instance of the application in a computer storage medium" wherein

"the application-based name represents a context within a naming system", and

"the application-based name is a compound name that comprises the application name and the deployment name".

On the other hand, <u>Lee et al.</u> teaches general concepts of a naming system including atomic names, generating compound name from atomic names and naming context within a naming system (see <u>Lee et al.</u>, [column 7, lines 15-67] and [column 8, lines 1-40]).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Lee et al. into the Custodio's system. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to do so as suggested by Lee et al. in column 1, lines 63-67 that a Naming or Directory service is a fundamental facility in any computing system, and in column 2, lines 10-15 that a naming service is usually integrated with another service such as file system, database, desktop, etc. In addition, integrating a naming system into the database in Custodio's system to generate application based names based on identification information in the database and representing a context within a naming system provides an effective and convenient way to manage the deployed files (applications) in environments of the system.

As to claims 3, 11 and 19, these claims are rejected based on arguments given above to rejected claims 1, 9 and 17, and are similarly rejected including the following:

## Custodio and Lee et al. teach:

"wherein the application-based name comprises the application name and multiple deployment names associated with multiple deployment attributes" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0062] for the combination of file ID (application name) with deployment ID and version number wherein

values of deployment ID and version number can be broadly interpreted as multiple deployment names associated with multiple deployment attributes (deployment ID, version number); see <u>Lee</u> et al., [column 7, lines 20-22] for compound name).

As to claims 4, 12 and 20, these claims are rejected based on arguments given above to rejected claims 1, 9 and 17, and are similarly rejected including the following:

Custodio and Lee et al. teach:

"wherein a deployment attribute is selected from the group comprising" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0062]):

"a deployment identifier, wherein a deployment identifier is a unique identifier associated with the deployment operation, wherein the deployment identifier is unique over all deployment operations within the data processing system or is unique over all deployment operations for all instances of the application within the data processing system" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0047] and [0049]);

"a version identifier or an edition identifier associated with a version of the application" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0054] and [0062] for version number); or

"some other identifier for a deployment-associated characteristic or metric" (see Custodio, [0062] for release ID, deployment path).

As to claims 5, 13 and 21, these claims are rejected based on arguments given above to rejected claims 1, 9 and 17, and are similarly rejected including the following:

Custodio and Lee et al. teach:

Application/Control Number:

10/621,902 Art Unit: 2164

"binding the application-based name to a data object" (see <u>Lee et al.</u>, [column 2, line 1; also see <u>Custodio</u>, [0047] for binding between file ID and source file location).

As to claims 6, 14 and 22, these claims are rejected based on arguments given above to rejected claims 5, 13 and 21, and are similarly rejected including the following:

Custodio and Lee et al. teach:

"relating the data object to a context for an application server" (see <u>Lee et al.</u>, [column 8, lines 1-12]).

As to claims 7, 15 and 23, these claims are rejected based on arguments given above to rejected claims 5, 13 and 21, and are similarly rejected including the following:

Custodio and Lee et al. teach:

"resolving the application-based name to a previously bound data object" (see <u>Lee et al.</u>, [column 2, line 2]).

As to claims 8, 16 and 24, these claims are rejected based on arguments given above to rejected claims 1, 9 and 17, and are similarly rejected including the following:

Custodio and Lee et al. teach:

"wherein an application comprises a plurality of application modules, wherein each module is associated with a module name, and wherein each module is associated with an application-based name based on its module name" (see <u>Custodio</u>, [0037]).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong-Thao Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-2735. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM (Mon - Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CHARLES RONES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

PTC Art Unit 2164 January 8, 2008